

---

**From:** Janda, Danielle L CIV  
**Sent:** Monday, October 29, 2018 4:00 PM  
**To:** Henderson, Kim/SDO (Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com)  
**Cc:** 'Koenig, Kellie/SDO'  
**Subject:** FW: Navy comments on Responsiveness Summary  
**Attachments:** Public Responsiveness Summary\_102318\_sc.docx

Hi Kim,

See attached. I believe this means we're done with the responsiveness summary, correct?

V/r,  
Danielle Janda  
(619)524-6041

-----Original Message-----

From: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO  
Sent: Monday, October 29, 2018 10:23 AM  
To: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>  
Subject: RE: Navy comments on Responsiveness Summary

Minor changes. Thank you!

-----Original Message-----

From: Janda, Danielle L CIV  
Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2018 3:47 PM  
To: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO <derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil>  
Subject: FW: Navy comments on Responsiveness Summary

Hi Derek,

If you could provide your review/approval by Monday it would be much appreciated.

V/r,  
Danielle Janda  
(619)524-6041

-----Original Message-----

From: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2018 3:37 PM  
To: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO <derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil>  
Cc: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>; Liscio, Matthew P CIV SEA 04, NAVSEA DET RASO <matthew.liscio@navy.mil>  
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: Navy comments on Responsiveness Summary

Hi Derek,

Here is the edited version for a final review. I accepted most of the editors changes but left a few I wasn't sure if we wanted to keep.

Thanks!

Kim

-----Original Message-----

From: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO <derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil>

Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2018 11:43 AM

To: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>; Liscio, Matthew P CIV

SEA 04, NAVSEA DET RASO <matthew.liscio@navy.mil>

Cc: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>; Solaun, Leticia/GNV

<lsolaun@JacobsEngineering.onmicrosoft.com>; Esquivel, Rosa/SCO

<Rosa.Esquivel@jacobs.com>; Koenig, Kellie/SDO <Kellie.Koenig@jacobs.com>;

Macchiarella, Thomas L JR CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO

<thomas.macchiarella@navy.mil>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Navy comments on Responsiveness Summary

Re-write of one section. Please let me know if this section is no longer responsive due to my changes:

Remediation Goals (RGs) and protectiveness of human health and the environment

When developing the Remedial Goals (RGs) for HPNS, the Navy worked with the various regulatory agencies to determine what levels would be protective of human health and the environment using the EPA's preliminary remediation goal (PRG) calculator and other available tools.

To ensure that final remedies remain protective of human health and the environment, the Navy conducts basewide Five-Year Reviews to ensure that remedial actions remain protective. A Five-Year Review is currently underway at HPNS. This effort is being coordinated with both state and federal regulatory agencies. As part of the Five-Year Review, remedies are being are being evaluated versus current regulatory standards.

-----Original Message-----

From: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO

Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2018 7:48 AM

To: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>; Liscio, Matthew P CIV

SEA 04, NAVSEA DET RASO <matthew.liscio@navy.mil>

Cc: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>; Solaun, Leticia/GNV

<Leticia.Solaun@jacobs.com>; Esquivel, Rosa/SCO <Rosa.Esquivel@jacobs.com>;

Koenig, Kellie/SDO <Kellie.Koenig@jacobs.com>; Macchiarella, Thomas L JR CIV

NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO <thomas.macchiarella@navy.mil>

Subject: RE: Navy comments on Responsiveness Summary

My comments are included. Thank you

-----Original Message-----

From: Janda, Danielle L CIV

Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 1:10 PM

To: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO <derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil>;

Liscio, Matthew P CIV SEA 04, NAVSEA DET RASO <matthew.liscio@navy.mil>

Cc: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>; Solaun, Leticia/GNV

<Leticia.Solaun@jacobs.com>; Esquivel, Rosa/SCO <Rosa.Esquivel@jacobs.com>;

Koenig, Kellie/SDO <Kellie.Koenig@jacobs.com>; Macchiarella, Thomas L JR CIV

NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO <thomas.macchiarella@navy.mil>

Subject: RE: Navy comments on Responsiveness Summary

Hi Derek and Matt,

Are you planning to review the responsiveness summary for the Parcel G Work Plan? Attached are my additional comments highlighted in blue.

V/r,

Danielle Janda

(619)524-6041

-----Original Message-----

From: Koenig, Kellie/SDO <Kellie.Koenig@jacobs.com>

Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2018 2:23 PM

To: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>

Cc: Robinson, Derek J CIV NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO <derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil>;

Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>; Solaun, Leticia/GNV

<Leticia.Solaun@jacobs.com>; Esquivel, Rosa/SCO <Rosa.Esquivel@jacobs.com>

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: Navy comments on Responsiveness Summary

Hi Danielle,

Thanks for the comments. We've addressed every comment; most are incorporated into the Responsiveness Summary (attached in track changes). The document now has more references to public and regulatory comments made and how comments changed the Navy's approach. I also included a couple places where we say that technical comments are addressed in the Regulatory RTC.

Please let me know if there are any changes you would like me to make today. After today I will be in South America until November 3. In my absence, Kim can help with the Responsiveness Summary. Leticia Solaun, Rosa Esquivel and Liz can help with other tasks.

Thanks,

Kellie

From: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>  
Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2018 3:48 PM  
To: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>  
Cc: Koenig, Kellie/SDO <Kellie.Koenig@jacobs.com>; Robinson, Derek J CIV  
NAVFAC HQ, BRAC PMO <derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil>  
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Navy comments on Responsiveness Summary

Hi Kim,

Attached are our internal comments on the responsiveness summary. I have a long comment at the end where I wrote down some themes I noticed that we don't address and we may want to consider addressing. We can schedule a meeting to discuss, but if they are willing, I prefer Kellie and Derek just decide if they want to address or not.

Kellie and Derek, I am a little worried that we will come off as unresponsive with only a 4-page summary that's mostly talking points we used before. That's why I'm suggesting we beef it up a little bit by addressing some other topics. If you think it's fine the way it is, that's ok with me. Just be aware that the RTCs to the regulators are 50 pages long, so it's quite a difference.

V/r,

Danielle Janda

Environmental Engineer

NAVFAC Southwest

Navy BRAC PMO West

33000 Nixie Way

Bldg 50, 2nd Floor

San Diego, CA 92147

---

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

---

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)



(b) (5)

(b) (5)